

REMEMBRANCE SCHOLARSHIP EVALUATION RUBRIC

CANDIDATE NAME: _____

The Remembrance Scholarship is one of the highest awards a Syracuse University student can receive and is awarded to seniors chosen for **service to the community, leadership, and distinguished scholarship**. The motto of the Remembrance Scholars is to "LOOK BACK and ACT FORWARD." The Scholars' mission is to educate the campus community about terrorism by relating Syracuse University's Pam Am Flight 103 experience to more current events, and provide avenues to create a better community through service and positive actions.

The Remembrance Scholars should reflect the diversity of academic field of study, race, economic circumstance, ethnicity, gender identity, sexual orientation, religion, disability, and/or record of military service that characterizes our student body.

Please review each written application and interview on the basis of the following criteria:

Service to the community:

- Does the candidate have a record of sustained engagement of service, and commitment to improving the lives of others, on campus or in the community?
- Does the candidate have an understanding of and demonstrated interest in current events at the local, national, and/or global level?

Comments:

Leadership:

- Does the candidate have a record of personal integrity?
- Does the candidate have a demonstrated ability to effect meaningful change or improvement within the campus or community, whether by organizing, mobilizing, or inspiring others?
- Is the candidate able to relate academic and service work to important social issues, and to translate this understanding into "acting forward"?

Comments:

Distinguished scholarship:

- Does the candidate have a commitment to academic success as evidenced by challenging coursework, independent research or creative inquiry, and/or contributions to the academic environment of school, college, or university?
- Does the candidate demonstrate evidence of creativity and innovative spirit?

Comments:

You must assign an aggregated score, agreed upon by your team, to written applications. The procedure for creating an aggregate score is at the discretion of each committee; **procedures for evaluation should be discussed and agreed on as a group before reviewing applications/conducting interviews.** You may consider the following scoring guide:

- 0: Do not consider
- 1: Poor
- 1.5: Poor+
- 2: Average
- 2.5: Average+
- 3: Good
- 3.5: Good+
- 4: Excellent
- 4.5: Excellent+
- 5: Ideal

For written applications, best practices include:

- Using a notepad to keep notes during review
- Reading 5-10 applications before scoring/evaluating; this will help build context
- Reviewing applications in groups to ensure that you give each application its due time